

CDSPP  
Meeting of the Executive Council  
March 15, 2007

1. Ed Daly reported on his evaluation of the mid-Winter meeting. A majority of the attendees responded and the evaluation was quite positive with a mean rating of about 4. Most of the sessions received good ratings. In the written comments the attendees stated what they liked most about the conference was the chance to spend time with their colleagues from other universities and the amount of time allotted to discuss topics. Ed raised the question of whether we might have even briefer presentations in the future with break out sessions, with guiding questions or questions that could be submitted to those who were planning to attend 2 or 3 weeks ahead of time. There was a discussion of the pro and cons of this idea. There was also a suggestion in the written comments that we have a call for presentations. Most felt that we should do this for the next conference.
2. Steve reported that the by-laws passed (42-1). They will be posted soon.
3. School Psychology Leadership Roundtable at the upcoming NASP meeting—Jean was scheduled to go but may not be able to. Whether she can attend the meeting or not, Steve will attend.
4. Steve discussed briefly what needs to be done to prepare for the Sandoval Dinner at APA.
5. There was a request to disseminate information to the membership. The president of SASP, along with Gary Stoner, wrote a letter, and attempted to post it to the Division 16 listserv, but it did not happen. Jean was asked if it could be posted to the CDSPP listserv. There was a discussion if this would be appropriate or not. The group agreed to post it.
6. Beth reported on the upcoming CCTC meeting. The most important topics to be discussed are the practicum definition and guidelines, and the benchmarks. CCTC may vote on the Practicum Definition in this meeting. There was a brief discussion of the EC's perception of the definition. Everyone thought it was fine. There was also a brief discussion of the Administrative Guidelines. The EC thought they were fine as well. Beth stated that the next issue that CCTC will try to tackle is how to define a practicum hour? Beth volunteered to continue as the liaison to CCTC to provide continuity, instead of Ed, who will become the president-elect of CDSPP (after the APA meeting) and would normally attend the CCTC meetings.
7. Benchmarks—APA convened the workgroup and they are the ones that pay for it. This will be a forum for talking about professional competencies. The results of these discussions will probably show up in licensure laws, and CoA policies for program approval. As of now the assumption is that part of the 2010 conference will consist of a discussion on how to implement these. The Benchmarks have been released for comment. CDSPP needs to be very active in this process; we need to read the Benchmarks and provide input early in the process. One scenario would be to have an extended discussion of the Benchmarks at the next mid-winter meeting. However, the discussion should probably not be delayed that long. Beth will check with the workgroup to see if we can send a copy of the

- Benchmarks to the membership for comment. Maybe we should convene a meeting at APA to discuss these or set up virtual workgroups led by members of the EC. We may need a conference call after NASP to discuss the Benchmarks.
8. Discussion of the 2010 meeting will be postponed until after the next CCTC meeting.
  9. Dues increase was proposed at a past meeting. Increasing our reserves is the primary issue. Discussion was deferred until Mike (treasurer) can join us.
  10. Procedural Timelines—We need to construct procedural timelines for EC tasks like setting up the annual dinner, running the mid-winter meeting, etc., to help guide future board members. Month by month would be best. Steve will set one up for the annual dinner, we need one for the coordination with APPIC (Jean), Mike will do one for the treasury, Beth will do one for the mid-winter meeting and other presidential tasks, etc. Ed will coordinate all of the parts.
  11. Other agenda items—Jean volunteered 2 items: she did not draft a letter to APPIC asking for a joint workgroup to look at ways of increasing internship sites for school psychologists. She will do this soon. Also, she will not be able to go to the APPIC conference in San Diego (4/13-4/14). Can any of the Board members go? Jeanne will email Mike and ask if he can go. Maybe we could identify someone else, e.g., a former board member, who might be able to go (CDSPP would pay).
  12. Question—Where are we with nominations to the Board. There has been one nomination so far. Steve needs to send out the nomination form to the membership. Several nominations were made by members of the EC. Steve will follow up with each of them to see if they wish to be considered.